

Quality Management

- Quality concepts
- Software quality assurance
- Software reviews
- Statistical software quality assurance
- Software reliability, availability, and safety
- SQA plan

Quality Concepts

What is Quality Management

- > Also called software quality assurance (SQA)
- > Serves as an <u>umbrella activity</u> that is applied throughout the software process
- Involves doing the software development <u>correctly</u> versus doing it over again
- Reduces the amount of <u>rework</u>, which results in lower costs and improved time to market
- Encompasses
 - A software quality assurance process
 - Specific quality assurance and quality control tasks (including formal technical reviews and a multi-tiered testing strategy)
 - Effective software engineering practices (methods and tools)
 - Control of all software work products and the changes made to them
 - A procedure to ensure compliance with software development standards
 - Measurement and reporting mechanisms

Quality Defined

- Defined as a characteristic or attribute of something
- Refers to <u>measurable</u> characteristics that we can compare to known standards
- In software it involves such measures as clomatic complexity, cohesion, coupling, function points, and source lines of code
- Includes variation control
 - A software development organization should strive to <u>minimize</u> the variation between the <u>predicted</u> and the <u>actual</u> values for cost, schedule, and resources
 - They should make sure their <u>testing</u> program covers a <u>known percentage</u> of the software from one release to another
 - One goal is to ensure that the <u>variance</u> in the number of bugs is also <u>minimized</u> from one release to another

Quality Defined (continued)

Two kinds of quality are sought out

- Quality of <u>design</u>
 - The characteristic that designers specify for an item
 - This encompasses requirements, specifications, and the design of the system
- Quality of <u>conformance</u> (i.e., implementation)
 - The degree to which the design specifications are followed during manufacturing
 - This focuses on how well the implementation follows the design and how well the resulting system meets its requirements
- Quality also can be looked at in terms of user satisfaction

User satisfaction = compliant product

+ good quality

+ delivery within budget and schedule

Quality Control

- Involves a series of <u>inspections</u>, <u>reviews</u>, and <u>tests</u> used throughout the software process
- Ensures that each work product meets the <u>requirements</u> placed on it
- Includes a <u>feedback loop</u> to the process that created the work product
 - This is essential in minimizing the errors produced
- Combines <u>measurement</u> and <u>feedback</u> in order to adjust the process when product specifications are not met
- Requires all work products to have defined, measurable <u>specifications</u> to which practitioners may <u>compare to the output</u> of each process

Quality Assurance Functions

- Consists of a set of <u>auditing and reporting functions</u> that <u>assess</u> the effectiveness and completeness of <u>quality control</u> activities
- Provides management personnel with data that provides <u>insight</u> into the quality of the products
- Alerts management personnel to quality problems so that they can apply the necessary resources to <u>resolve</u> quality issues

The Cost of Quality

- Includes all costs incurred in the pursuit of quality or in performing qualityrelated activities
- Is studied to
 - <u>Provide a baseline</u> for the current cost of quality
 - <u>Identify opportunities</u> for reducing the cost of quality
 - <u>Provide a normalized basis</u> of comparison (which is usually dollars)
- Involves various kinds of <u>quality costs</u>
- Increases dramatically as the activities progress from
 - Prevention \rightarrow Detection \rightarrow Internal failure \rightarrow External failure

"It takes less time to do a thing right than to explain why you did it wrong." Longfellow

Kinds of Quality Costs

Prevention costs

- Quality planning, formal technical reviews, test equipment, training
- Appraisal costs
 - Inspections, equipment maintenance, testing
- Failure costs subdivided into internal failure costs and external failure costs

<u>Internal</u> failure costs

- Incurred when an error is detected in a product prior to shipment
- Include rework, repair, and failure mode analysis
- <u>External</u> failure costs
 - Involves defects found <u>after</u> the product has been shipped
 - Include complaint resolution, product return and replacement, help line support, and warranty work

Software Quality Assurance

Software Quality Defined

Definition:

"Conformance to explicitly stated functional and performance <u>requirements</u>, explicitly documented development <u>standards</u>, and implicit <u>characteristics</u> that are expected of all professionally developed software"

Software Quality Defined (continued)

- This definition emphasizes three points
 - <u>Software requirements</u> are the foundation from which quality is measured; lack of conformance to requirements is lack of quality
 - <u>Specified standards</u> define a set of development criteria that guide the manner in which software is engineered; if the criteria are not followed, lack of quality will almost surely result
 - A set of <u>implicit requirements</u> often goes unmentioned; if software fails to meet implicit requirements, software quality is suspect
- Software quality is <u>no longer</u> the sole responsibility of the programmer
 - It <u>extends</u> to software engineers, project managers, customers, salespeople, and the SQA group
 - Software engineers <u>apply</u> solid technical methods and measures, conduct formal technical reviews, and perform well-planned software testing

The SQA Group

- Serves as the <u>customer's</u> in-house representative
- Assists the software team in achieving a <u>high-quality</u> product
- Views the software from the <u>customer's</u> point of view
 - Does the software adequately meet quality factors?
 - Has software development been conducted according to pre-established standards?
 - Have technical disciplines properly performed their roles as part of the SQA activity?

 Performs a set of of <u>activities</u> that address quality assurance planning, oversight, record keeping, analysis, and reporting (See next slide)

SQA Activities

- <u>Prepares</u> an SQA plan for a project
- <u>Participates</u> in the development of the project's software process description
- <u>Reviews</u> software engineering activities to <u>verify</u> compliance with the defined software process
- <u>Audits</u> designated software work products to <u>verify</u> compliance with those defined as part of the software process
- <u>Ensures</u> that deviations in software work and work products are documented and handled according to a documented procedure
- <u>Records</u> any noncompliance and <u>reports</u> to senior management
- <u>Coordinates</u> the control and management of change
- Helps to <u>collect</u> and <u>analyze</u> software metrics

Software Reviews

Purpose of Reviews

- Serve as a <u>filter</u> for the software process
- Are applied at <u>various points</u> during the software process
- Uncover <u>errors</u> that can then be removed
- <u>Purify</u> the software analysis, design, coding, and testing activities
- Catch <u>large classes</u> of errors that <u>escape</u> the originator more than other practitioners
- Include the <u>formal technical review</u> (also called a walkthrough or inspection)
 - Acts as the most effective SQA filter
 - Conducted by software engineers for software engineers
 - Effectively uncovers errors and improves software quality
 - Has been shown to be up to 75% effective in uncovering <u>design flaws</u> (which constitute 50-65% of all errors in software)
- Require the software engineers to <u>expend</u> time and effort, and the organization to cover the costs

Formal Technical Review (FTR)

Objectives

- To <u>uncover</u> errors in function, logic, or implementation for any representation of the software
- To verify that the software under review meets its requirements
- To <u>ensure</u> that the software has been represented according to predefined standards
- To <u>achieve</u> software that is developed in a uniform manner
- To <u>make</u> projects more manageable
- Serves as a <u>training ground</u> for junior software engineers to observe different approaches to software analysis, design, and construction
- Promotes <u>backup and continuity</u> because a number of people become familiar with other parts of the software
- May sometimes be a <u>sample-driven</u> review
 - Project managers must <u>quantify</u> those work products that are the primary targets for formal technical reviews
 - The sample of products that are reviewed must be <u>representative</u> of the products as a whole

The FTR Meeting

Has the following <u>constraints</u>

- From 3-5 people should be involved
- Advance preparation (i.e., reading) should occur for each participant but should require no more than two hours a piece and involve only a small subset of components
- The duration of the meeting should be less than two hours
- Focuses on a <u>specific</u> work product (a software requirements specification, a detailed design, a source code listing)
- Activities <u>before</u> the meeting
 - The <u>producer</u> informs the project manager that a work product is complete and ready for review
 - The <u>project manager</u> contacts a <u>review leader</u>, who evaluates the product for readiness, generates copies of product materials, and distributes them to the reviewers for advance preparation
 - Each <u>reviewer</u> spends one to two hours reviewing the product and making notes <u>before</u> the actual review meeting
 - The <u>review leader</u> establishes an agenda for the review meeting and schedules the time and location

The FTR Meeting (continued)

Activities <u>during</u> the meeting

- The meeting is attended by the review leader, all reviewers, and the producer
- One of the reviewers also serves as the <u>recorder</u> for all issues and decisions concerning the product
- After a brief introduction by the review leader, the <u>producer</u> proceeds to "walk through" the work product while reviewers ask questions and raise issues
- The <u>recorder notes</u> any valid problems or errors that are discovered; <u>no time or effort</u> is spent in this meeting to <u>solve</u> any of these problems or errors

Activities <u>at the conclusion</u> of the meeting

- All attendees must decide whether to
 - <u>Accept</u> the product without further modification
 - <u>Reject</u> the product due to severe errors (After these errors are corrected, another review will then occur)
 - <u>Accept</u> the product <u>provisionally</u> (Minor errors need to be corrected but no additional review is required)
- All attendees then complete a <u>sign-off</u> in which they indicate that they took part in the review and that they concur with the findings

The FTR Meeting (continued)

Activities <u>following</u> the meeting

- The <u>recorder</u> produces a list of review issues that
 - <u>Identifies problem areas</u> within the product
 - <u>Serves as an action item checklist</u> to guide the producer in making corrections
- The <u>recorder</u> includes the list in an FTR summary report
 - This one to two-page report describes <u>what</u> was reviewed, <u>who</u> reviewed it, and <u>what</u> were the findings and conclusions
- The <u>review leader</u> follows up on the findings to ensure that the <u>producer</u> makes the requested corrections

FTR Guidelines

- 1) Review the <u>product</u>, not the producer
- 2) Set an <u>agenda</u> and maintain it
- 3) <u>Limit</u> debate and rebuttal; <u>conduct</u> in-depth discussions off-line
- 4) <u>Enunciate</u> problem areas, but <u>don't attempt</u> to solve the problem noted
- 5) Take <u>written notes</u>; utilize a wall board to capture comments
- 6) Limit the <u>number of participants</u> and insist upon <u>advance preparation</u>
- 7) Develop a <u>checklist</u> for each product in order to structure and focus the review
- 8) Allocate <u>resources</u> and schedule <u>time</u> for FTRs
- 9) Conduct meaningful <u>training</u> for all reviewers
- 10) Review your earlier reviews to <u>improve</u> the overall review process